

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No.M-24129 of 2016

Date of Decision: February 15, 2017

Paramjit Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. Kamal Narula, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sidakmeet Singh Sandhu, AAG, Punjab

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

Both learned counsel are ad idem that despite the order dated 26.09.2016, the petitioner has still not appeared before the trial Court, which obviously was the essential condition for him to have been admitted to bail.

Keeping in view the above, the order dated 26.09.2016 stands vacated.

Accordingly, the petition is dismissed.

**(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

February 15, 2017
vcgarg

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes
Whether reportable: no