

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Crl. Misc. No.M-23235 of 2017
Date of decision : 10.07.2017**

Narinderpal @ Sonu @ Narinder Singh

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

**Present: Mr. Gagandeep Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.**

Mr. K.S. Aulakh, AAG, Punjab.

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J.

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No.26 dated 13.02.2017, registered under Sections 376, 342, 506, 120-B IPC (Sections 5 & 6 of the POCSO Act added later on), Police Station Jandiala, District Amritsar Rural.

Learned counsel for the petitioner urges that the petitioner is in custody since 14.02.2017. Counsel urges that the allegations under Section 376 IPC are against co-accused Vijay Kumar and the complainant had spoken about his presence outside the room.

The State counsel informs that in the statement recorded under Section 164 Cr.P.C., the girl had exonerated the petitioner.

No allegations of rape had been levelled in the FIR against the petitioner. Investigation is over, the trial will take time. Without commenting upon the merits of the case, the petition is allowed and the petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail on execution of adequate personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE

10.07.2017
sunil

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No