

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

206

**CRM-M-23223 of 2017
DATE OF DECISION:29.08.2017**

SHUPLA @ MUSTAFA

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Ms. Monisha Lamba, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Tanuj Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.116 dated 30.04.2017, under Sections 3/5/8/13(1)(2)(3) & 17 of Haryana Gauvansh Sanrakshan and Gausamvardhan Act, 2015 and 120-B of the IPC, registered at Police Station Bahin, District Palwal.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the allegations in the FIR, which has been lodged on the secret information forwarded by a secret informer, are that the petitioner along with others had slaughtered a cow and when the police party stopped the motorcycle on which he was travelling, he escaped leaving behind the plastic bag containing beef. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that although FIR is registered on the basis of information forwarded by secret informer but the secret informer did not accompany the police and the petitioner was not identified by secret informer or by any independent person and the allegations against him are false.

Learned counsel for the petitioner relied upon the judgments of the coordinate Benches in the cases of **Samma @ Samim Vs. State of Haryana** in **CRM-M-11962-2017**, **Rehmuddin Vs. State of Haryana** in **CRM-M-13319-2017**, **Ajrduddin Vs. State of Haryana** in **CRM-M-13655-2017**, **Jaikam Vs. State of Haryana** in **CRM-M-14436-2017**, **Rajduddin Vs. State of Haryana** in **CRM-M-8734-2017** and **Hakam Vs. State of Haryana** in **CRM-M-44165-2016**, wherein on the basis of similar allegations, accused were granted the concession of anticipatory bail.

This Court, by the order dated 14.07.2017, had directed the petitioner to join the investigation and he was to be released on interim bail subject to the satisfaction of the Investigating/Arresting Officer.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Suresh Kumar, states that the petitioner has joined investigation and is not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the submissions of learned counsel for the petitioner and keeping in view the fact that the petitioner has joined investigation, the order dated 14.07.2017 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute.

However, the petitioner shall abide by the conditions stipulated under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. He shall also join investigation as and when called upon to do so.

The petition stands disposed of.

August 29, 2017

SwarnjitS

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No