

CRM-M-24083-2016

[1]

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

(Sr. No.244)

CRM-M-24083-2016

Date of Decision:- May 09, 2017

Gurbakshish Singh

.....Petitioner

V/S

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present : Mr. Rishu Mahajan, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. D.S. Virk, DAG Punjab.

Mr. Balraj Singh Sidhu, Advocate,
with complainant-respondent No.2.

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

In FIR No.135 dated 21.03.1990 registered under Sections 364, 148 and 149 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Sadar, Amritsar the petitioner is being prosecuted.

Learned counsel for the petitioner as well as the learned counsel for respondent No.2-complainant state that the compromise has been arrived at between the parties. This Court had directed the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate to record the statements vide order dated November 15, 2016 in view of the compromise effected between the parties and verify that whether the same is genuine or not. Now, there is a report received from the District & Sessions Judge, Amritsar showing that the statements of the parties have been recorded and compromise that has

been arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure, coercion or undue influence.

Looking to the nature of the offences registered in the FIR, I think, parties should be allowed to compound/compromise the offences in order to live in peace and harmony.

In that view of the matter, FIR No.135 dated 21.03.1990 registered under Sections 364, 148 and 149 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Sadar, Amritsar is quashed by way of compounding/compromise along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom.

Disposed of.

May 09, 2017

Pankaj

(A.B. Chaudhari)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No