

IN THE PUNJAB & HARYANA HIGH COURT AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-24064-2016

Date of decision : 12.01.2017

Kiranjit Kaur and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: None for the petitioners

Mr. D.S.Virk, AAG, Punjab.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J.(ORAL)

Notice of this petition was issued on 18.07.2016, though counsel for the petitioners had not appeared.

Thereafter, vide order dated 29.09.2016, the petitioners were directed to appear in person on 10.11.2016, on which date the case was adjourned without having been taken up, to 17.11.2016. On that date again it was adjourned to today.

Neither the petitioners, nor their counsel, is present. However, learned State counsel, on instructions submits that the statements of respondents no.4 & 6, i.e. the mother and sister-in-law of petitioner no.2 have been recorded, to the effect that they have no objection to the marriage of the petitioners with each other. Respondent no.5, i.e. the brother of respondent no.2, is stated to be not living in India, and consequently his statement could not be recorded.

In view of the fact that none is appearing for the petitioners and

they have also not come present in person, this petition is seen to be

rendered infructuous in view of the statement of the ld. State counsel, and is disposed of with a direction to respondents no. 2 & 3 to ensure that no untoward incident takes place, despite the statements of respondents no. 4 & 6.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
UDGE

January 12, 2017.
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No