

265

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-23088 of 2017

Date of decision: August 23, 2017

Pawan

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. Johan Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Arjun Singh Yadav, AAG Haryana.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

In FIR No.198 dated 26.04.2017, under Sections 323, 324, 326, 427, 506, 34 of Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Kotwali, Faridabad, District Faridabad, the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail. This Court had made an order dated 03.07.2017 granting *ad interim* anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from police official states that the petitioner has joined investigation and custody is not required.

In that view of the matter, interim order dated 03.07.2017 granting *ad interim* anticipatory bail to the petitioner, is made absolute.

Petition stands disposed of, accordingly.

**(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE**

August 23, 2017

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No