

CRM-M-23964-2016

[1]

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

(Sr.No.260)

CRM-M-23964-2016

Date of Decision:-May 02, 2017

Rahul

.....Petitioner

V/S

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present : Mr. J.S. Jaidka, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. D.S. Virk, DAG Punjab.

Mr. Naresh Kalia, Advocate for the complainant.

A.B. Chaudhari, J. (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

In FIR No.200 dated 14.08.2015 registered under Sections 452, 427, 323 and 506 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Division No.5, Civil Lines, District Ludhiana, the petitioner is being prosecuted.

Learned counsel for the petitioner as well as the learned counsel for the complainant state that the compromise have been arrived at between the parties. This Court had directed the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate to record the statements vide order dated August 09, 2016, in view of the compromise that has been arrived at between the parties and verify that whether the same is genuine or not. Now, there is report received from the District and Sessions Judge, Ludhiana, showing that the statements of the parties have been recorded and compromise that has

been arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure, coercion or undue influence.

Looking to the nature of the offences registered in the FIR, I think, parties should be allowed to compound/compromise the offences in order to live in peace and harmony.

In that view of the matter, FIR No.200 dated 14.08.2015 registered under Sections 452, 427, 323 and 506 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Division No.5, Civil Lines, District Ludhiana is quashed by way of compounding/compromise along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom.

Disposed of.

May 02, 2017

pankaj

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No