

259

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-23910 of 2016

Date of decision: May 08, 2017

Sunil Kumar and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. Vikasdeep Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. D.S. Virk, DAG Punjab.

Mr. Amandeep Singh, Advocate for respondent No.2.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

In FIR No.47 dated 07.04.2016, under Section 420 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'IPC'), registered at Police Station Bhikhiwind, District Tarn Taran, the petitioners seek quashing of the said FIR on the basis of compromise.

Learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned counsel for respondent No.2 submit that the compromise has been arrived at between the parties.

This Court had directed the parties to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court for recording of statements. Pursuant thereto, now, there is a report from learned JMIC, Patti stating that the statements have been recorded and the compromise is genuine, voluntarily, without any coercion or undue influence with their free

will.

In that view of the matter, this petition is allowed. FIR No.47 dated 07.04.2016, under Section 420 of IPC, registered at Police Station Bhikhiwind, District Tarn Taran alongwith all consequential proceedings, is quashed by way of compounding/compromise, subject to the petitioners depositing ₹30,000/- with the office of Senior Superintendent of Police, Tarn Taran within a period of 6 months from today, which shall be forfeited to the State of Punjab. Upon failure of depositing the said amount, the present FIR in question shall automatically revived.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

May 08, 2017

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No