

203

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M- No.23016 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision:17.07.2017**

Sunita and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA

Present:- Mr. Navneet Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Ramakant Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J.(ORAL)

Petitioners seek benefit of regular bail pending trial in case FIR No.252 dated 12.07.2016, under Sections 302, 201 & 34 of Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Sadar Sonipat, District Sonapat.

Counsel for the parties have been heard.

FIR came to be registered on the statement of Dayanand i.e. a watchman of the village. As per statement of Dayanand, Manoj (petitioner No.2 herein) with the help of his family members i.e. his wife Sunita (petitioner No.1 herein) and his brother-in-law Jitender, has murdered his own daughter, namely, Lalita and thereafter cremated her body without informing anybody. Motive attributed is that Lalita (since deceased) was having affair with a boy of the adjoining village.

Petitioners were arrested on 19.07.2016.

It is a case of circumstantial evidence.

It has gone uncontroverted that during the course of trial, all the private witnesses including the complainant have not supported the prosecution version.

Co-accused Jitender i.e. maternal uncle of the deceased- Lalita, has been granted benefit of bail by this Court in the light of order dated 26.05.2017 in CRM-M-17218 of 2017.

Without making any observations on merits, the petitioners are held entitled to the benefit of bail.

Petitioners be enlarged on bail subject to satisfaction of trial Court/Duty Magistrate, Sonapat.

Petition disposed of.

17.07.2017

anju rani

**(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*

Whether Reportable : *Yes/No*