

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-23863-2016(O&M)
Date of decision: 28.3.2017

Abhishek Dixit

....Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana and Anr.

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE REKHA MITTAL

Present: Mr. Aakash Singla, Advocate and
Mr. Jasbir Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Malik, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Sumit Gupta, Advocate for respondent No.2.

REKHA MITTAL, J.(Oral)

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has already returned gold chain, ring and certain other articles after order dated 20.12.2016 was passed by this Court. It is further submitted that though the petitioner is not in possession of any other article of gold belonging to the complainant, he is ready to compensate the complainant on payment of reasonable price of gold jewellery claimed by her but subject to outcome of the litigation.

Counsel for respondent No.2 has submitted that the complainant submitted a list to the effect that 55 grams of gold jewellery was given in marriage in addition to other articles but there is hardly any recovery till date.

In view of the rival submissions made by counsel for the parties and it is difficult to ascertain correctness or otherwise of the allegations and counter allegations at this stage, interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 18.07.2016 is made absolute subject to the following conditions:-

- (i) he shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;
- (ii) he shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;
- (iii) he shall not leave India without the previous permission of the Court.
- (iv) he shall pay an amount of Rs.1,00,000/- towards price of remaining jewellery by way of demand draft in favour of the complainant, to be handed over to the Investigating Officer within a period of 15 days. Payment of an amount of Rs.1,00,000/- to the complainant shall be subject to outcome of the litigation.

Failure of the petitioner to pay an amount of Rs.1,00,000/- to the complainant in compliance of directions of this Court would entail dismissal of the petition.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

MARCH 28, 2017

‘D. Gulati’

(REKHA MITTAL)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No