

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-22970-2017 (O&M)

Date of decision: 05.12.2017

Suresh Kumar

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldip Singh

Present: Mr.Deepak Aggarwal, Advocate for the petitioner
Ms.Monika Jalota, DAG Punjab

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral)

Plea of learned counsel for the petitioner is that his daughter had married against his wishes. She and her husband sought protection from this Court, which was granted. The petitioner has no objection to their marriage. Even then the run away couple is filing false complaints against him and he is being summoned in the police station.

On behalf of the State, it is stated that the petitioner was never called to the police station except for recording statement in protection petition. It is further stated by learned State counsel that the petitioner is not required in any case.

As such, the present petition is disposed of with directions to the State not to summon the petitioner to police station. If his presence is required in the complaint filed by his daughter and son-in-law, he will be issued prior notice of at least three days under Section 160 Cr.P.C. stating the reasons for summoning and date, time and place of appearance.

05.12.2017

gk

**(Kuldip Singh)
Judge**

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes
Whether Reportable: No