

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

240

**CRM-M-22966 of 2017
DATE OF DECISION:30.08.2017**

MOHIT GOYAL

... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS.

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Deepak Aggarwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Seena Mand, DAG, Punjab.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

Learned State counsel has filed reply on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 and 5, which is taken on record.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in view of the reply filed by respondents No.1 to 3 and 5, this petition has been rendered infructuous.

In view of the statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, this petition is disposed of as infructuous.

August 30, 2017

SwarnjitS

**(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No