

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

266

CRM-M-22893 of 2017

DATE OF DECISION:11.09.2017

Dilbagh Singh and others

... **Petitioner(s)**

V.

State of Punjab and another

... **Respondent(s)**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Amaninder Singh Sekhon, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Seena Mand, Deputy Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. Vikas Sonak, Advocate for
Mr. Gobind Sharma, Advocate for respondent No.2.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners are seeking quashing of FIR No.21 dated 18.04.2017, under Sections 452/323/324/34 of the IPC (Section 326 IPC added later on), registered at Police Station Sadiq, District Faridkot, on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-2), which has been arrived at between the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the FIR is the outcome of a matrimonial dispute between the parties which has now been resolved and the husband and wife are residing together peacefully.

A coordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 21.08.2017 had directed the parties to appear before the trial Court to record the statements of the parties with regard to genuineness of the compromise and send a report to this Court. The report of JMIC, Faridkot dated 30.08.2017 has been received, wherein it is stated that in pursuance to the order of this Court, the statements of the parties were recorded which indicates that the compromise is genuine, without any coercion or undue influence.

The FIR is outcome of a matrimonial dispute between the parties which has now been resolved and the husband and wife are residing together peacefully.

In view of the mandate of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of ***Narinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466***, no useful purpose will be served by continuing the criminal proceedings. Therefore, the petition is allowed and FIR No.21 dated 18.04.2017, under Sections 452/323/324/34 of the IPC (Section 326 IPC added later on), registered at Police Station Sadiq, District Faridkot and subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed qua the petitioners.

11.09.2017

jt

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No