

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No. M-22892 of 2017 (O&M)
DECIDED ON : 30.08.2017**

Bijender

...Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present : Mr. Amit Kumar Goyal Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Tanuj Sharma, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No. 36 dated 16.01.2017 under Sections 186, 353, 279, 427, 420, 467, 468 and 471 IPC and Section 61 of the Excise Act, registered at Police Station Sadar, Bahadurgarh.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the allegations against the petitioner are that his co-accused, who had been arrested at the spot, had named the petitioner. As per the allegations in the FIR, the petitioner had ran away from the place of occurrence and 194 bottles of liquor were recovered from the possession of co-accused Sanjay @ Bhalu. He further states that no other case has been registered against the petitioner.

This court, by the order dated 21.07.2017, had directed the petitioner to join investigation and in the event of his arrest, he was

ordered to be released on ad-interim bail to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer, subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from SI Dinesh Kumar, states that in pursuance to the order dated 21.07.2017, the petitioner has joined investigation and is not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of case, the order dated 21.07.2017, granting ad-interim bail to the petitioner, is hereby made absolute. However, the petitioner shall abide by the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

August 30, 2017

kv

Whether speaking/reasoned : YES/NO

Whether reportable : YES/NO