

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. 22887 of 2017

DATE OF DECISION :- September 22, 2017

Chetna Sharma and another

...Petitioner

Versus

State of U.T. Chandigarh and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. MADAN

Present:- None for the petitioners.

Mr. Amit Kumar Goyal, APP, U.T. Chandigarh
for respondents no. 1 to 3.

Mr. Sunil Garg, Advocate files vakalatnama for the respondent.

The same is taken on record.

Again there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner. As per communication sent by Senior Superintendent of Police, U.T. Chandigarh to this Court, the matter had been got looked into by SDPO/South, who has reported that statements of petitioner couple have been recorded in which they have stated that at present they do not have any danger from anyone and statements of respondents no. 4 and 5 have also been recorded besides they have been advised not to interfere in the married life of the petitioner since both are major and have performed marriage with their sweet will.

Learned counsel for the respondents no. 4 and 5 states that such respondents have neither interfered in marital life of petitioners nor they

would do so. The petitioners have not come present. Similar was the position on last date of hearing. It means that petitioners are not interested to prosecute the petition.

Dismissed.

(H.S. MADAAN)
JUDGE

September 22, 2017
p.singh

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No