

203

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-22844-2017
Date of Decision: 05.09.2017**

Nishar and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HONBLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present: Mr. D.S. Matya, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Vikas Malik, D.A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Saleem Ahmed, Advocate,
for the complainant.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA J. (ORAL)

Ld. counsel for the petitioners submits that his clients have joined the investigation in terms of the earlier order.

2. Perused the case record.

3. A copy of the original F.I.R. has not been filed on behalf of the petitioners, but a full text of the same is accessible on reading the reply of the Police, filed in the Court of the Ld. Additional Sessions Judge, earlier, which is Annexure P-1.

4. Also seen the Medico Legal Reports of the victims and subsequent medical opinions given by the Medical Officers as available in

the Police case diary.

5. Injury No. 2 caused to the complainant was described as grievous and caused by “a sharp weapon”, which is in direct contradiction of the specific allegations made in the original F.I.R. The F.I.R. in the case was itself lodged nine days after the alleged occurrence.

6. In such circumstances, this appears to be a fit case to confirm the interim bail granted to the petitioners earlier.

7. Ordered accordingly.

8. Disposed off.

(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE

05.09.2017
Apurva

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

2. Whether reportable : Yes/No