

**In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at
Chandigarh**

-. -

CRM M 22784 of 2015
Date of Decision: 09.02.2017

Kanish Gupta

---Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

---Respondent

Coram: Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Rekha Mittal

-. -

Present: Mr. Girish Agnihotri, Senior Advocate
with Mr. Saurav Gulia, Advocate
for the petitioner

Ms Dimple Jain, AAG, Haryana

Ms Sonam Goel, complainant in person along with
her father Mr. Ajay Goel

-. -

Rekha Mittal, J. (Oral)

An affidavit filed by Kanish Gupta-petitioner in Court is taken
on record.

The petitioner and the complainant agree that dispute between
them has been settled by way of compromise and in view of the
compromise, Kanish Gupta, husband would pay an amount of
Rs.7,50,000.00 toward full and final settlement of all claims of the
complainant towards articles of dowry, future maintenance and permanent
alimony. The parties are agreeable that said amount would be paid by
Kanish Gupta as per terms and conditions, to be ascertained by this Court.
However, the complainant has stated that in view of compromise arrived at
between the parties, she has got no objection if the interim bail granted to
the petitioner is made absolute.

In view of the above, the interim bail granted by this Court to the petitioner is made absolute subject to conditions incorporated in order dated 07.01.2016.

Matrimonial dispute between the parties stands settled in the following terms:-

- 1) The petitioner shall pay an amount of Rs.7,50,000.00 in full and final settlement of all claims of the complainant towards articles of dowry, maintenance and permanent alimony;
- 2) The parties shall file a joint petition for divorce by way of mutual consent under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 on or before 16.03.2017;
- 3) The petitioner shall pay an amount of Rs.3,00,000.00 out of the agreed sum at the time of recording of statements of the parties in the first motion;
- 4) The balance amount of Rs.4,50,000.00 shall be paid by the petitioner to the complainant at the time of recording of statements of the parties at second motion;
- 5) The petitioner shall file a petition seeking quashing of FIR on the basis of compromise and the complainant would get record a statement raising no objection with regard to quashing of FIR; and
- 6) The FIR would be quashed after recording of statements of the parties in the second motion in proceedings under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955.
- 7) The parties shall remain bound by the terms and conditions agreed upon and recorded in the order.

(Rekha Mittal)
Judge

09.02.2017
mohan bimbira

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No

Note: After recording of above order, statement of complainant has been recorded qua settlement for Rs.7.5 lacs.

(Rekha Mittal)
Judge

