

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Criminal Misc. No.M- 23653 of 2016(O&M)

Date of Decision: May 18 , 2017.

Kawaljit Singh @ Kawal PETITIONER (s)

Versus

State of Punjab RESPONDENT (s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE LISA GILL

Present: Mr. Karanjit Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Shivali, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. D.S.Sandhu, Advocate for
Mr. L.S.Sidhu, Advocate
for the complainant.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

LISA GILL, J.

Prayer in this petition is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.102 dated 17.06.2016 under Sections 326/323/354/506/148/149 IPC registered at Police Station Sadar Tarn Taran, District Tarn Taran.

It is submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case whereas, the dispute is, in fact, regarding an electricity connection. As per the medical record, simple injuries have been received by the wife of the complainant. The petitioner is not involved in any other case and he has joined

investigation pursuant to interim order dated 15.07.2016 passed by this Court. Therefore, this petition be allowed.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI Dilbag Singh, submits that the petitioner has joined investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required. No recovery is to be effected from him. It is affirmed that the complainant's wife suffered simple injuries. The petitioner is not involved in any other criminal case.

There are no allegations on behalf of the State that the petitioner is likely to abscond or that he is likely to dissuade the witnesses from deposing true facts in the Court, if released on bail.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances as above but without commenting upon or expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this petition is allowed. Consequently, order dated 15.07.2016 is made absolute.

It is clarified that none of the observations made hereinabove shall be construed to be a reflection on the merits of the case. The same are solely confined for the purpose of decision of the present petition.

May 18 , 2017.
'om'

(LISA GILL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No