

CRM-M No. 22737 of 2017

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No. 22737 of 2017
Date of Decision : 01.09.2017

Sher Singh

...Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present : Mr. Sandeep Kotla, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Tanuj Sharma, Assistant Advocate General,
Haryana.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No. 388, dated 11.06.2017, under Sections 18/22/27-A/61/85 of NDPS Act and 18 Drugs Act, registered at Police Station City, Fatehabad.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has not been named in the FIR and he has been arraigned as an accused on the statement of one Mukesh Kumar, from whom tablets of Alprazolam of total weight of 9.8 grams and 6.3 grams of Clanazepam had been recovered, that he had purchased these tablets from the petitioner. Learned counsel contends that no other case under the NDPS Act has ever been registered against the petitioner and he has been falsely implicated in this case.

This Court, vide order dated 13.07.2017, had directed

the petitioner to join investigation and on his doing so, he was to be released on ad-interim bail to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer, subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Learned State counsel upon instructions from ASI Baldev Raj states that the petitioner has joined investigation and is not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of case, the order dated 13.07.2017, granting ad-interim bail to the petitioner, is hereby made absolute. However, the petitioner shall abide by the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

September 01, 2017
kanchan

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned?</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable?</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>