

CRM-M-22704-2017

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-22704-2017

Date of Decision: 03.07.2017

Swaran Singh @ Laddi

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Saurabh Bajaj, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. B.S.Virk, DAG, Haryana.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

Perusal of file reveals that FIR pertains to the State of Haryana, however, due to inadvertence, State of Punjab has been impleaded as respondent instead of State of Haryana. Hence, State of Haryana be treated as respondent instead of State of Punjab.

Petitioner-Swaran Singh @ Laddi has filed this 2nd petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.28 dated 01.03.2017, registered at Police Station Saha, District Ambala, under Sections 406 and 420 of the Indian Penal Code.

Notice of motion has been issued. Learned State counsel has appeared and contested the instant petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as

CRM-M-22704-2017

learned State counsel and gone through the record. Police record is also available.

From the record, I find that the petitioner is not named in the FIR and allegation as per the FIR is that the accused cheated the complainant by giving artificial bracelet. A gold ring is stated to have been recovered from the petitioner. The offences are triable by the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class.

The petitioner has been in custody since 15.04.2017. He is not required for any investigation or interrogation purposes as he is in judicial custody. The trial of the case will take long time. No useful purpose will be served by keeping the petitioner in custody till the final disposal of the case. Moreover, co-accused namely Bhupinder Singh has already been granted regular bail by this Court vide order dated 30.05.2017 passed in CRM-M-18709-2017.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts in minute detail and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this criminal miscellaneous petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds in the sum of ₹50,000/- with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

03.07.2017

parveen kumar

**(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No