

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Crl. Misc. No.M-22661 of 2017 (O&M)  
Date of decision : 27.06.2017**

**Bhawna Dayma & Anr.**

**... Petitioners**

**versus**

**State of Haryana & Ors.**

**... Respondents**

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY**

Present: Mr. Abhimanyu Singh, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, DAG Haryana

**ANITA CHAUDHRY , J(ORAL)**

The petitioners had approached this Court by filing Crl. Misc. No. M-21598 of 2017. The petition was disposed of by this Court on 09.06.2017 with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider and decide the representation of the petitioners within the framework of law. Finding threat perception to the petitioners they were lodged in protection home on 10.06.2017.

The grievance of the petitioners in the instant petition is that despite submitting representation dated 18.06.2017 expressing that now they had no threat from their family members, they have not been released from the protection home.

The petitioners were kept in the protection home on their request considering the threat to them. Now they have filed representation, Annexure P-4 to respondent No.2 submitting that they are not having any threat and want to go home. There was no legal impediment and their request should have been acceded to permitting them to go home.

The petition is allowed. Respondent No.2 shall ensure that the petitioners are released from the custody/premises of respondent No.3 forthwith.

A copy of this order be supplied to the learned State counsel under the signatures of Special Secretary of this Bench for necessary compliance.

**June 27, 2017**  
Jiten

**(ANITA CHAUDHRY)**  
**JUDGE**