

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-22638-2017 (O&M)  
Date of decision : 31.08.2017

Pritam

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN**

Present: Mr. Virender Soni, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Mahima Yashpal, AAG, Haryana,  
assisted by SI Urmila.

Mr. Abhimanyu Kalsy, Advocate  
and Mr. Manoj Kr. Palwal, Advocate,  
for respondent No.2-complainant.

**JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)**

The petitioner seeks pre-arrest bail in case FIR No.83 dated 01.06.2017, registered under Sections 323, 377, 498-A and 506 of the Indian Penal Code, at P.S. Women, Jhajjar.

Heard.

On 23.06.2017, the following order was passed:-

*“This is a petition for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No. 83 dated 1.6.2017 registered at Police Station Jhajjar, for the offence under Sections 323, 377, 498-A and 506 IPC.*

*The allegations in the FIR lodged on the basis of the complaint made by the wife of the petitioner are of harassment, demand of dowry, act of unnatural sex, etc.*

*On the oral request of learned counsel for the petitioner, Pooja d/o Satbir r/o Luharheri, District Jhajhar, wife of the petitioner, is impleaded as*

*respondent no.2. Registry to make necessary corrections in the memo of parties.*

*Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the marriage of the petitioner with respondent No. 2 was solemnized on 23.11.2015 and he is willing to resolve the matter.*

*Notice of motion for 28.08.2017.*

*Petitioner to bring a demand draft of Rs.25,000/- in the name of respondent No.2 on the next date of hearing.*

*Meanwhile, in the event of his arrest, the petitioner- Pritam shall be released on interim anticipatory bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting/ Investigating Officer, subject to the condition provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. The petitioner is also directed to join the investigation and cooperate with the Investigating Agency, as and when required.”*

Learned State counsel, on instructions, submits that in pursuance of the order passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation and he is not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above and the fact that even as per the MLR, no injury was noticed on the person of the prosecutrix, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 23.06.2017, is made absolute, subject to furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

The petition stands allowed.

**31.08.2017**  
*atulsethi*

**(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)**  
**JUDGE**

|                               |     |    |
|-------------------------------|-----|----|
| Whether speaking / reasoned : | Yes | No |
| Whether Reportable :          | Yes | No |