

**202 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M No.23482 of 2016
Date of Decision: 23.03.2017

GURMEET SINGH

-PETITIONER

VS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

-RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Manuj Nagrath, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Shilesh Gupta, Additional A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Gurcharan Dass, Advocate
for respondents No.2 and 3.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner seeks cancellation of anticipatory bail granted to respondents No.2 and 3 in case bearing FIR No.150 dated 20.09.2014 under Sections 420, 120-B IPC registered at Police Station Shimla Puri, District Ludhiana City.

Vide order dated 13.10.2014, interim anticipatory bail was granted to respondents No.2 and 3 and thereafter, vide order dated 17.12.2014, the petition was disposed of on

the ground that the Investigating Agency shall comply with the directions and the guidelines issued by the Hon'ble Apex Court in case titled **Arnesh Kumar vs. State of Bihar and another, 2014(3) RCR (Criminal) 527.**

Petitioner remained on interim anticipatory bail. At one point of time, the petitioner filed second anticipatory bail which was withdrawn on 02.02.2015. In the meanwhile, challan was presented. The police reported that the respondents have already joined the investigation on 20.11.2014 and, therefore, the respondents were treated to be on bail.

Vide order dated 04.06.2014, Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Ludhiana granted regular bail to respondents No.2 and 3 subject to furnishing adequate bail bonds in a sum of Rs.30,000/- each with one surety in the like amount.

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Jagtar Singh submitted that after presentation of challan, charges were framed and even two of the prosecution witnesses have been examined.

At this stage, I do not deem it appropriate to cancel the anticipatory bail granted to respondents No.2 and 3 particularly when on presentation of challan, they have

been enlarged on regular bail and have not misused the concession of bail granted to them.

Accordingly, the present petition stands dismissed.

23.03.2017

jjyoti Y.

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No