

245

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No. M-22557 of 2017 (O/M)

Date of decision : 28.11.2017

Sandeep alias Pahal

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Vishal Nehra, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Pawan Garg, AAG Haryana.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Heard.

Petitioner, who is facing trial under Sections 379-A, 412 IPC in case pertaining to FIR No. 57, dated 10.3.2016, registered at Police Station Barauda, District Sonapat, seeks grant of regular bail. It is stated that petitioner is in custody since 10.3.2016 and the trial is not being concluded.

On the other hand, the State has claimed that there are 17 FIRs registered against petitioner, involving serious offences such as dacoity, robbery, attempt to murder and Arms Act.

Since petitioner is a habitual offender, I am of the view that if petitioner is released on regular bail, he will commit similar offences. Therefore, it is not in the larger interest of society that petitioner should be enlarged on bail. Hence, present petition is dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

28.11.2017

sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes
Whether Reportable : No