

CRM-M-22523-2017

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-22523-2017
Date of Decision: 24.08.2017

Sandeep alias Pehal

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Gaurav Grover, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. B.S.Virk, DAG, Haryana.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

Petitioner-Sandeep alias Pehal has filed this petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.125 dated 30.04.2015, registered at Police Station Matlauda, Panipat, District Panipat, under Sections 148, 149, 302, 307, 452 and 506 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959.

Notice of motion was issued. Learned State counsel has appeared and contested the instant petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned State counsel and gone through the record.

CRM-M-22523-2017

From the record, I find that earlier the petitioner was declared proclaimed offender and now he has been in custody since 28.04.2016. Out of 59 witnesses, 13 are stated to have been examined. The main accused have already been acquitted by the trial Court as the complainant and other material witnesses have turned hostile and have not supported the prosecution version. Furthermore, similarly situated co-accused namely Vijay and Ashok, who were declared proclaimed offenders, have already been granted the concession of regular bail.

The petitioner has been in custody since 28.04.2016. He is not required for any investigation or interrogation purposes as he is in judicial custody. The trial of the case will take long time. No useful purpose will be served by keeping the petitioner in custody till the final disposal of the case.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case; without discussing the facts in minute detail and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this criminal miscellaneous petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds in the sum of Rs.50,000/- with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

24.08.2017

parveen kumar

**(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether reportable	:	No