

Radha Rani

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU

--

Present: - Mr. A.K. Khunger, Advocate for the petitioner.

-

HARINDER SINGH SIDHU, J.

The petitioner, who is unmarried girl, is living with her father Guranditta Mal and mother. Respondents No.4 to 11 are sons and daughters-in-law of said Guranditta Mal.

It is alleged that respondents No.4 to 11 by way of fraud and misrepresentation have got the entire agricultural land of Guranditta Mal transferred in their names by way of a collusive decree. Guranditta Mal has challenged the collusive decree through his General Power of Attorney Radha Rani (the petitioner). Status-quo with regard to possession in the said property has already been issued in C.R. No.3020 of 2017.

The grievance of the petitioner is that angered by the order of status-quo, respondents No.4 to 11 on 25.04.2017 the very day the order was passed in civil revision, caused injuries to the mother of the petitioner. Regarding this a DDR was registered. The mother of the petitioner suffered 8 injuries and even her two teeth were broken. Respondents No.4 to 11 have been repeatedly threatening the parents of the petitioner to withdraw the civil suit. The petitioner as well as her parents are living in constant fear. In this regard, the petitioner has made a complaint dated 15.05.2017 (Annexure P-2) but no action thereon has been taken.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage he would be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to look into the matter and take a necessary action on the complaint (Annexure P-2).

Accordingly, without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to look into the complaint of the petitioner (Annexure P-2) and take necessary action thereon as may be warranted in law.

June 23, 2017

Atul

(HARINDER SINGH SIDHU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/ reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No