

115

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No.23307 of 2017 IN/AND
CRM-M-22493 of 2017**

Date of decision: August 01, 2017

Gautam Dhillon

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: None for the non-applicant-petitioner.

Mr. Ramandeep Sandhu, Sr. DAG Punjab.

Mr. H.S. Dhindsa, Advocate for the applicant-complainant.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the applicant-complainant.

I have seen the averments made in the present application, i.e. CRM No.23307 of 2017. None of the averments in the application have been controverted. The averments are on affidavit. Applicant-complainant has also made a complaint to the Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana. In the absence of any rebuttal to the present application, the averments are accepted.

Hence, present application, i.e. CRM No.23307 of 2017 is allowed. Consequently, CRM-M-22493 of 2017 stands dismissed. Interim order dated 23.06.2017 granting *ad interim* anticipatory bail to the petitioner is vacated. Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana is directed to take action against the petitioner.

**(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE**

August 01, 2017

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No