

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM -M-22442 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision: 20.07.2017

Parveen

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Sukhdeep Parmar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Mahima Yashpal, AAG, Haryana
assisted by ASI Jasmer Singh.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition, under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in FIR No. 50 dated 05.02.2017, registered under Sections 328, 376 and 506 of IPC, at Police Station City Sonipat, District Sonipat.

It is contended that the complainant, husband of the prosecutrix and the prosecutrix herself have not supported the case of the prosecution and have been declared hostile. The petitioner is in custody since 14.02.2017.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel opposes the bail application.

Heard.

Considering the fact that the material witnesses including the

complainant turned hostile; the conclusion of trial will take a long time, without advertng to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of CJM/Duty Magistrate/trial Court.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

20.07.2017
sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No