

**237 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No.22426 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : September 05, 2017**

Bhoop Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Garg, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. C.P. Tiwana, Advocate for the complainant.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Learned State counsel, on instructions from H.C. Rajender Singh, states that the petitioner has joined the investigation and that he is no longer required by the police for further investigation. The State has no objection to the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the complainant has opposed the prayer, stating that as per MLR, the injuries attributed to the petitioner are grievous in nature.

However, learned counsel for the State refuted the same by stating that as per the opinion of the Dental Surgeon, the said injuries are simple in nature.

Since the petitioner is no longer required by the police for further investigation, the interim bail granted to the petitioner by this Court on 21.06.2017, is made absolute.

The present petition is, accordingly, allowed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

September 05, 2017

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable: No