

208

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-22375 of 2017

Date of decision: November 09, 2017

Kesar Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI

Present: Mr. Naveen Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Dhruv Dyal, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. T.P.S. Tung, Advocate
for the complainant.

A.B. CHAUDHARI, J (Oral)

Heard learned counsel for the rival parties.

Petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in FIR No.7 dated 12.01.2016, under Sections 420, 120-B of Indian Penal Code (Sections 467, 468, 471 & 201 IPC added later on), registered at Police Station Payal, District Ludhiana.

Admittedly, the parties have filed civil suit for redressal of the grievance.

On 09.10.2017, this Court had asked the petitioner to give specimen signatures and to join investigation.

Counsel for the complainant submits that the petitioner is not disclosing the name of the person who made the forgery. This is the matter of investigation which would be carried out. But the

petitioner has given specimen signatures and the Civil Suit is pending.

In that view of the matter, there is no point in recalling the interim order of anticipatory bail. Hence, interim order dated 20.06.2017 is made absolute.

(A.B. CHAUDHARI)
JUDGE

November 09, 2017
anju rani

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No