Sanjiv Kumar Sharma
2017.08.16 09:51
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No. M-22356 of 2017 (O/M)
Date of decision : 11.8.2017

Amit Kumar ..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others ..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Sandeep Antheri, Advocate, for,
Mr. N.K. Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. R.K. Makkad, Deputy A.G. Haryana.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-.- -.-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Heard.

It comes out that the petitioner is praying that he should not be dispossessed from his ancestral land measuring 36 bighas 17 biswas, except in due course of law.

I am of the view that since it is a civil dispute, the appropriate remedy is to approach the civil court with appropriate prayer. The petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is nothing, but misuse of process of law.

Disposed of.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

11.8.2017
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No