

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-22349-2017

Date of Decision: 07.09.2017

Poonam

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present: Mr. Y.P. Singla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. V.G. Jauhar, Senior Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA J. (ORAL)

It is submitted by learned counsel for the State that the address of respondents No.4 & 5 given by the petitioner is incorrect.

Learned counsel for the petitioner concedes to the submission and undertakes to supply the present address immediately apart from filing the amended memo of parties. However, respondents No.4 and 5 are present in person and submit that they have no objection to the petitioner living independently.

In the circumstances and in view of the statements given by respondents No.4 & 5 who are parents of the petitioner, the matter is disposed off as infructuous at this stage with a liberty to the petitioner to approach the Court again in case there is any threat to her life or liberty.

**(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE**

September 07, 2017

ps-I

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No