

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-22338 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision : June 21, 2017

Reena Devi and another

... Petitioners

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURINDER GUPTA

Present: Petitioners in person with
Mr. Bikram Singh, Advocate.

SURINDER GUPTA, J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for petitioners submits that petitioners have filed this petition seeking protection of their lives and liberty alleging that they are major and have married against the wishes of respondents No. 4 and 5, who are parents of petitioner No. 1. Apprehending danger to their lives and liberty, petitioner No.1 moved representation dated 16.06.2017 (Annexure P-6) to Superintendent of Police, Panipat. He confines the relief claimed in this petition for direction to respondent No.2 to look into the representation of petitioner No.1 and take appropriate action in accordance with law.

In view of above submission of learned counsel for petitioners, this petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No.2- Superintendent of Police, Panipat, to look into the representation dated 16.06.2017 (Annexure P-6) and take appropriate action in accordance with law, on receipt of copy of this order along with representation in his office.

However, it is made clear that this order will have no effect on the validity of alleged marriage of the petitioners or on any other civil or criminal proceedings instituted against them.

June 21, 2017

Sachin M.

(SURINDER GUPTA)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No