

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM M-23171 of 2016

Date of decision : 02.06.2017

Baljinder Singh @ Gogi

...Petitioner

V/s

State of Punjab

....Respondent

BEFORE : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Mr. Anurag Arora, Advocate for
Mr. Gautam Dutt, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. V. Ramswaroop, Addl. A.G. Punjab.

RAJAN GUPTA J.

Instant case was directed to be heard alongwith *CRM M-24213 of 2016*. In the said case an affidavit of R.N. Dhole, Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana has been filed. Para 3 thereof reads as under:-

“3. That in compliance to the said order of this Hon’ble court, it is respectfully submitted that in case FIR No. 122 dated 10.06.2012 u/s 302, 307, 420, 467, 468, 471, 148, 149, 506, 427 IPC and 25/27 of Arms Act, P.S. Salem Tabri, Ludhiana, there are total 24 prosecution witnesses out of which the evidence of 4 prosecution witnesses has been recorded before the Ld. Trial Court and evidence of the remaining prosecution witnesses is yet to be recorded. However, for speedy trial, the answering deponent has written Letters dated 29.05.2017 to the District Attorney (Prosecution), Ludhiana, Jail Superintendent, Central Jail,

Ludhiana, Line Officer, Police Line, Ludhiana as well as to the Incharge, Pairvai Staff, Ludhiana. All these officers have been directed to play their respective roles in bringing the prosecution witnesses before the Ld. Trial Court and see that the trial is concluded as early as possible and no adjournment should be wasted on the part of the prosecution. ”

An assurance has been given by prosecution that it shall conclude its evidence as soon as possible.

In view of above, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he may be allowed to withdraw this petition at this stage.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to the petitioner to file afresh in case trial does not conclude within a period of five months.

June 02, 2017

Ajay

(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No