

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M No.22268 of 2017.

Date of Decision: 05.07.2017.

Pardeep

....Petitioner.

VERSUS

State of Haryana

....Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SNEH PRASHAR.

Present: Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. S.K. Yadav, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

SNEH PRASHAR, J.

The petitioner is facing trial in case First Information Report No.447 dated 13.12.2016 under Section 307 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 25(54) of the Arms Act, 1959 registered against him at Police Station Madhuban, District Karnal. The case was registered on the statement of Sandeep son of Krishan, who had suffered a gun shot injury in his neck.

The petitioner is stated to be in judicial custody since 15.12.2016. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that during his statement recorded before learned trial Court, the complainant-injured has not supported the version of the prosecution and has not identified the petitioner as the assailant.

Considering the fact that the petitioner is in custody since

15.12.2016 and the complainant-injured has already been examined and

without commenting on the merits of the case, the petitioner is enlarged on bail on his furnishing bail/ surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/ Duty Magistrate, Karnal.

(SNEH PRASHAR)
JUDGE

05.07.2017

jitender sharma

Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/ No

Whether Reportable : Yes/ No