

CRM NO. M-22243 of 2017 (O&M)

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM NO. M-22243 of 2017 (O&M)
DECIDED ON : 27.09.2017

Jalander Singh and others

...Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present : Mr. T.S. Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Seena Mand, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. P.K.S. Phoolka, Advocate for the complainant.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners are seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No. 119 dated 23.05.2017, under Sections 308, 295-A, 323, 324, 148 and 149 of IPC, registered at Police Station Nathana, District Bathinda.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that FIR is the outcome of a dispute regarding management of the Gurudwara and petitioners No. 2 to 4 were also injured in the occurrence. It is further contended that cross version has also been recorded by way of DDR.

This Court vide order dated 25.08.2017, had directed the petitioners to join investigation and in the event of their arrest, they were to be released on ad-interim bail to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer, subject to the

conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Learned State counsel, upon instructions from ASI Iqbal Singh states that in pursuance to the order dated 25.08.2017 the petitioners have joined investigation and are not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of case, the order dated 25.08.2017, granting ad-interim bail to the petitioners, is hereby made absolute. However, the petitioners shall abide by the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

September 27, 2017
kanchan

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned?</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable?</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>