

**207 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No. M-22224 of 2017.

Date of Decision: 20.09.2017.

Vinod Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM : Hon'ble Mr. Justice Jitendra Chauhan

Present : Mr. DPS Randhawa, Advocate for
Mr. A.S. Brar, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. AS Gill, Sr. DAG Punjab.

Complainant in person with
Mr. Vivek Salathia, Advocate.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN.J.(ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Section 438
the Cr.P.C seeking anticipatory bail in case FIR No.47 dated
13.05.2017, registered under Sections 323, 354, 354-A and 354-B IPC
at Police Station Phase 11, Mohali.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in the
morning the petitioner had gone to Sri Laxmi Narayan Mandir, Phase
11, Mohali to pay obeisance. Inside the premises of the temple, the
petitioner was abused by the husband of the complainant. When the
petitioner had come to his house and was in the stairs, the complainant
came in front of him and hit him with slippers. The petitioner suffered
injuries on his nose and right eye. The husband of the complainant also
gave fist blows on the left eye of the petitioner. The complainant also
gave sleeper blows on his right cheek after he had fallen down. The
petitioner got recorded cross version as DDR No.14 dated 21.05.2017.

It is asserted that the complainant along with her husband, who escaped the consequences, had concocted a false version against the petitioner.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel opposes the bail application.

Complainant heard in person.

It is alleged in the FIR that the petitioner had been teasing and harassing the complainant for the last six months. He would make indecent signals including that of flying kiss. On 13.05.2017 at about 8.00 am, the complainant was going to feed the animals in the park. The petitioner came from outside and passed flying kiss to her and held her arm. She opposed him on which he tore her clothes and manhandled her. She got injuries on her face and back. The petitioner also fell down when she pushed him.

Keeping in view the gravity of the offence, this Court feels that no case for grant of concession of anticipatory bail is made out.

Consequently, the petition is dismissed.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

20.09.2017.
SN

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No