

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM NO. M-22164 OF 2017 (O&M)
DECIDED ON : 08.08.2017**

Brahm Singh and others

...Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present : Mr. Sunil Toni, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Ms. Monika Jalota, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Manbir Singh Batth, Advocate,
for the complainant.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners are seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.61 dated 27.05.2017 under Sections 307/324/323/148/149/27 IPC, registered at Police Station Rahon, District SBS Nagar.

Learned counsel for petitioners No.1 and 5 contends that petitioner No.1-Brahm Singh is 78 years of age and no injury has been attributed to him, whereas petitioner No.5-Amrik Singh is stated to be armed with danda and he has been attributed simple injury on the elbow of Karanbir Singh.

This Court, vide order dated 04.07.2017, had directed petitioners No.1-Brahm Singh and petitioner No.5-Amrik Singh to join investigation and in the event of their arrest, they were

ordered to be released on ad-interim bail to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer, subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Learned State counsel, upon instructions from ASI Balwinder Singh, states that in pursuance to the order dated 04.07.2017 both the petitioners have joined investigation and are not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of case, the order dated 04.07.2017, granting ad-interim bail to petitioner No.1-Brahm Singh and petitioner No.5-Amrik Singh, is hereby made absolute. However, both the petitioners shall abide by the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

AUGUST 08, 2017

shalini

- | | | |
|----|-----------------------------|--------|
| 1. | Whether speaking/reasoned : | YES/NO |
| 2. | Whether reportable : | YES/NO |