

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No.M-23002 of 2016
Decided on: 08.05.2017**

Karaj Singh and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS JUSTICE REKHA MITTAL

Present : Mr. Vikas Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Ankur Jain, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Bikramjit Arora, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

REKHA MITTAL, J. (Oral)

Counsel for the petitioners has submitted that on the basis of dispute being settled between the parties, a petition for quashing of the FIR and subsequent proceedings has been filed and is pending for 25.05.2017.

Counsel for respondent No.2 has submitted that though the dispute was settled between the parties by way of compromise but the petitioners have failed to comply with the terms and conditions of the compromise and even did not deposit a sum of Rs.5,00,000/- in compliance with the order dated 02.09.2016 passed by this Court.

Counsel for the petitioners, in reply, would submit that an appropriate order may be made.

On 02.09.2016, this Court allowed interim bail to the petitioners and a direction was issued to them to deposit a sum of Rs.5,00,000/- in lieu of dowry with the trial Court within a period of

two months.

Counsel for the petitioners is not in a position to convince this Court that the petitioners have complied with directions issued by this Court.

On the contrary, counsel for respondent No.2 has made a positive assertion that the petitioners have not deposited the amount.

As the petitioners have failed to comply with the directions issued by this Court at the time of allowing interim bail to them, the petitioners have dis-entitled them to seek pre-arrest bail, a concession to be allowed by the Court.

Dismissed.

08.05.2017
yakub

(REKHA MITTAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No