

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-22086-2017

Date of Decision: June 15, 2017

Nisha Sharma & another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Navraj Singh Mahal, Advocate, for the petitioners.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (Oral):

Petitioners apprehend threat to their lives and liberty from respondent Nos. 4 and 5 and have, therefore, highlighted this position in their representation dated 13.06.2017 (Annexure P-5) addressed to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Jalandhar (Rural)-respondent No. 2.

Counsel contends that the petitioners, at this stage, would be satisfied if a direction is issued to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Jalandhar (Rural)-respondent No. 2, to look into the representation dated 13.06.2017 (Annexure P-5) and take appropriate steps in accordance with law.

In view of the statement made by the counsel for the petitioners and without going into the merits of the case or commenting thereon, the present petition is disposed of with directions to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Jalandhar (Rural)-respondent No. 2, to look into the representation dated 13.06.2017 (Annexure P-5) and take appropriate steps in accordance with law, if required.

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE**

June 15, 2017

Jyoti-Y

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No