

CRM-M-22016-2017

Date of Decision : 25.07.2017

Challo Rani @ Kaushalya

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL**Present:** Mr. Puneet Kumar Bansal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Bhawna Gupta, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. M.S. Atwal, Advocate
for the complainant.**ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, JUDGE (ORAL)**

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No. 70, dated 08.04.2017, under Sections 379, 447 IPC, registered at Police Station Tanda, District Hoshiarpur.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the allegations against the petitioner are that she had demolished the wall and committed theft of 3 cans of refined oil, 3 cans of mustard oil, 3 bags of Feed, 2 bags of Chokar, 2 bags of Choori, 2 bags of Jaggery, 2 bags of sugar, 10 bags of salt, 2 bags of 20 Kg. of daal of Rajmah and other articles. He further contends that the petitioner had preferred a suit for permanent injunction in the trial Court. The trial Court vide order dated 19.12.2016 had restrained the defendant from dispossessing the petitioner. Learned counsel further contends that the complainant is the brother of the defendant and the wall in question is a part of the suit

property, wherein the aforementioned injunction has been passed in favour of the petitioner.

Learned State counsel contends that in terms of previous order dated 15.06.2017, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

In view of the submission of learned counsel for the petitioner and the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation, the interim order dated 15.06.2017 passed by this Court is hereby made absolute. However, the petitioner shall abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

25.07.2017
Neha

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No