

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-21921-2017 (O&M)
Date of decision: -7.9.2017**

Surinder Singh @ Surinder Singh Saluja and anotherPetitioners

versus

State of PunjabRespondent

CORAM: - HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: - Mr. Vivek Salathia, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Kirat Singh Sidhu, DAG, Punjab.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J (ORAL)

This is a petition filed under Section 438 Cr. P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners in case FIR No. 85 dated 18.5.2017 under Sections 406, 420, 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station C-Division, Amritsar.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in pursuance of the orders dated 14.6.2017 and 21.7.2007, petitioners have joined the investigation.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Harnek Singh has, however, opposed the prayer on the ground that recovery of the amount is yet to be made.

In reply, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in fact it is purely a business dispute with regard to supply of goods between complainant and petitioners, which has been given the shape of criminal litigation.

In view of above fact and without commenting upon the merits of the case, present petition is allowed and the orders passed by this Court dated 14.6.2017 and 21.7.2017 are made absolute subject to the conditions mentioned

below, as envisaged in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C :-

1. They shall make themselves available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;
2. They shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade them from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer; and
3. They shall not leave India without previous permission of the Court.

7.9.2017
preeti

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No