

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-21884-2017

Date of Decision: 14.06.2017

DHEERAJ SINGAL

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANR

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr. Chand Ram Ola, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was absent only on one date. If that was the case, possibly the concession of bail could have been granted to the petitioner; however, even warrants of arrest also having been subsequently issued, this petition is dismissed. However, nothing stated in this order would stand in the way of the petitioner, upon him filing any petition/application u/S 439 Cr.P.C., which would be decided in its own merits,

**(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

June 14, 2017

Sunil Devi

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No