

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM NO. M-21874 OF 2017
DECIDED ON : 28.08.2017**

Padmani and another

...Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present : Mr. Anuj Balian, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Vikramjit Singh, Addl. AG, Haryana.

Mr. Vivek Suri, Advocate,
for the complainant.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners are seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.139 dated 28.05.2015 under Sections 420/447/506 IPC registered at Police Station Pinjore.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the FIR has been registered at the behest of the complainant, who had purchased the plot in the year 2013 from a property dealer, whereas the petitioners had purchased the plot after due consideration in the year 2010. They are in possession ever since 2010 and even the mutation has been entered in their name. He further states that the petitioners are willing to compromise the matter with the complainant.

This Court by the order dated 15.06.2017, had directed the petitioners to join investigation and in the event of their arrest, they were ordered to be released on ad-interim bail to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer, subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Anant Ram, states that in pursuance to the order dated 15.06.2017, the petitioners have joined investigation and are not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of case, the order dated 15.06.2017, granting ad-interim bail to the petitioners, is hereby made absolute. However, the petitioners shall abide by the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

AUGUST 28, 2017

shalini

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : YES/NO
2. Whether reportable : YES/NO