

**142 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.21797 of 2017

Date of Decision: June 13, 2017

Gurwinder Kaur and another

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present : Mr. L.M. Gulati, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners, who are present in the Court, are seeking protection of their lives and liberty at the hands of respondents No.4 and 5, who are stated to be the parents of petitioner No.1, on account of the fact that they have married each other of their own free will on 15.09.2015.

As regards the age of the petitioners, their original matriculation certificates issued by the Punjab School Education Board showing the date of birth of petitioner No. 1 to be 08.11.1995 and that of petitioner No. 2 to be 21.02.1986, have been produced in Court.

On a specific query put to learned counsel for the petitioners, it has been stated that neither are the petitioners in any prohibited relationship to each other, nor has any of them been married earlier. He states that he has obtained specific instructions from the petitioners in that regard.

Though an Aadhar Card is not a firm proof of date of birth of any person since no verification is done by the Unique Identification Authority of India in respect of the date of birth disclosed by the applicant for an Aadhar Card, however, since protection of lives and liberty is a fundamental right of every citizen enshrined in Article 21 of the

Constitution of India, this petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents no. 2 and 3 to ensure that the lives and liberty of the petitioners are not put to any harm or threat at the hands of the aforesaid respondents or at their behest.

However, it is made clear that if any of the averments made in the petition is found to be incorrect, specifically with regard to either the petitioners being in any prohibited relationship to each other, or as regards their previous marital status, or with regard to their age, this order shall not be construed to be a bar on any proceedings initiated as per law.

June 13, 2017
ps-I/harsha

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No