

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM NO. M-21717 of 2017
DECIDED ON : 04.12.2017**

Mandeep Kumar and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Sandeep Arora, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sandeep Vermani, Addl. AG, Punjab.

Mr. D. S. Kahlon, Advocate,
for respondents No.4 to 6.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners are seeking directions to respondents No.2 and 3 to not unnecessarily harass the petitioners on account of frivolous complaints made by respondents No.4 to 6.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that petitioner no.1, who is serving in the Indian Army, was engaged on 23.09.2015 with respondent No.4, who is Constable in the Punjab Police. Due to differences between petitioner No.1 and respondent No.4, the marriage could not be solemnized. He further contends that respondent No.4 along with her relatives have been threatening and harassing the petitioners and are seeking to involve them in false complaints.

Learned counsel has drawn my attention to the representation dated 06.06.2017 (Annexure P-1) sent to respondent No.2 in this regard.

The petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Rural, Jalandhar, to look into the representation dated 06.06.2017 (Annexure P-1) and ensure that the petitioners are not unnecessarily harassed at the behest of respondents No.4 to 6.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

DECEMBER 04, 2017
shalini

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned ?</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether reportable ?</i>	<i>No</i>