

202

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM NO. M-21705 OF 2017
DECIDED ON : 18.09.2017**

Seema Bansal

...Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present : Mr. Neeraj Goel, Advocate for
Mr., Sanjay Vashisth, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikramjit Singh, Addl. AG, Haryana.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.268 dated 13.05.2017 under Section 3(2)(v-9)/33/89) (also mentioned as Section 3(1)(h)/3(2)(va) of the Scheduled Caste and Scheduled Tribe (Prevention of Atrocities) Act (in short "the SC/ST Act), registered at Police Station City Bhiwani, District Bhiwani.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the FIR has been lodged after two days and offence under the SC/ST Act is not made out, because the alleged occurrence was not in a public view as nobody else was present.

This Court, by the order dated 13.06.2017, had directed the petitioner to join investigation and in the event of arrest, she was ordered to be released on ad-interim bail to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer, subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Learned State counsel, upon instructions from HC Pradeep Kumar, states that in pursuance to the order dated 13.06.2017, the petitioner has joined investigation and is not required for custodial interrogation.

In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of case, the order dated 13.06.2017, granting ad-interim bail to the petitioner, is hereby made absolute. However, the petitioner shall abide by the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

SEPTEMBER 18, 2017
shalini

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : YES/NO
2. Whether reportable : YES/NO