

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No. M-21647 of 2017
Date of Decision : 12.06.2017**

Chanan Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

The State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Madan Sandhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that although the petitioner has filed several complaints against the private respondents for harassing and threatening him but no action has been taken thereon by the official respondents. Learned counsel further contends that the private respondents continue to harass and threaten the petitioner till date. He also contends that at this stage, he will be satisfied if the official respondents are directed to consider and decide the representation made by the petitioner in this regard.

Issue notice to respondents No. 1 to 6.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. K.S. Pannu, learned Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 6.

The petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 4- Senior Superintendent of Police, District Sri Muktsar Sahib to consider and decide the representation of the petitioner dated 11.03.2017 (Annexure P-4) in accordance with law within a period of one month from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

12.06.2017
kanchan

**(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE**

**Whether speaking/reasoned?
Whether reportable?**

**Yes
No**