

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

120

**CRM-M-21644 of 2017 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION:13.09.2017**

JAGIR SINGH

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANR.

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. B.S. Chahal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that he shall be confining his prayer in the instant petition to the exemption from personal appearance of the petitioner before the trial Court.

Learned counsel states that the petitioner is 75 years of age and the FIR was registered against the petitioner in the year 2005. The criminal misc. petition No.CRM-28512-M-2006 preferred by the petitioner for quashing the FIR was disposed of as infructuous on 17.03.2008 after the statement of learned State counsel was recorded that untraced report had been filed before the competent Court. He further contends that the complainant had entered into compromise with the main accused namely Lakhbir Singh Malhi and after his death, the complainant has initiated the instant complaint in the year 2007.

As the petitioner is stated to be 75 years of age and the police had earlier filed untraced report, it would be in the interest of justice, if he is exempted from personal appearance before the trial Court.

Consequently, the petition is disposed of with a direction that personal appearance of the petitioner shall remain exempted before the trial Court.

13.09.2017

SwarnjitS

**(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE**