

CRM No. M-21554 of 2017

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No. M-21554 of 2017
Date of Decision : 05.07.2017**

Vinod Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Parveen Chauhan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Seena Mand, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. Sanjeev K. Virk, Advocate for the complainant.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J.(Oral)

The petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No. 86, dated 04.05.2017, under Section 306 IPC, registered at Police Station Goraya, District Jalandhar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the only allegation against the petitioner in the FIR is that he had demanded the return of his loan, which he had advanced to the deceased. There is no allegation that he had harassed the deceased, which had compelled him to commit suicide. This Court vide order dated 12.06.2017 had directed the petitioner to appear before the Investigating Officer and join the investigation. In the event of his arrest, he was directed to be released on ad-interim bail.

Learned State counsel upon instructions from ASI Manjit Singh

states that the petitioner has joined investigation and is not required by the police for this purpose any longer.

The only allegation against the petitioner is that he had demanded return of his loan. He has also joined investigation and is no longer required.

Therefore, the order dated 12.06.2017 granting ad-interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute. However, the petitioner shall appear before the Investigating Officer as and when required and abide by the terms and conditions stipulated in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

The petition stands disposed of.

July 05th, 2017.
kanchan

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes
Whether reportable?	No